Hesiod's injunction not to turn one's semen-bespattered genitals towards the hearth after sex. Perhaps because of its intimate seclusion, the hearth seems to have acquired a symbolic connection with the female sexual organs. Henderson has noted that, in comedy and elsewhere, the almost synonymous word  $\epsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \rho \alpha$  could denote the vagina (p. 143). There may even be an allusion of this kind in Euripides' *Helen*. The association between passion and fire is, of course, ubiquitous. Therefore, I submit that there is a sexual undertone here. Clytemnestra has nothing to fear for as long as she and Aegisthus are together in their liaison and he continues to light her fire.

In drawing attention to the sexual connotations of these passages, I am not interested in sexual language merely for its own sake. We hear at the very outset that Clytemnestra has an  $d\nu\delta\rho\delta\beta\sigma\nu\lambda\sigma\nu$   $\epsilon\lambda\pi\iota\zeta\sigma\nu$   $\kappa\epsilon\alpha\rho$  (11). We see that she is probably, in fact, more masculine than most of the men in the play. When, in the Beacon Speech (281ff.), she summons up far away vistas for the chorus and audience, we feel that she knows things hidden from most men, to say nothing of women. Her cunning intelligence and powers of ironic dissimulation and persuasion also set her apart from the other characters. Her language here must add to our perception of her as a profoundly disquieting character.

Merton College, Oxford

SIMON PULLEYN

- <sup>11</sup> Hes. Op. 734ff. with M. L. West's note ad. loc. (Oxford, 1978).
- - <sup>13</sup> Sappho 31.9f. is a well-known example.
- 14 It appears that there did indeed exist in Greek an idiom comparable to that of the popular song lyric 'Come on baby, light my fire'. We find at Xen. Cyr. 5.1.16 the phrase  $\alpha i\theta \epsilon \sigma\theta \alpha \iota \tau \hat{\omega}$  'Έρωτι and at Ap. Rhod. 3.296f.  $\dot{\nu}\pi\dot{\rho}$  κραδί $\dot{\eta}$  εἰλύμενος  $\alpha i\theta \epsilon \tau o$  λάθρ $\dot{\eta}$  /  $o\dot{v}\lambda o$ ς 'Έρως. cf. A.P. 12.83 (Meleager) where  $\dot{\alpha}\nu\dot{\alpha}\pi\tau\omega$  and  $\alpha i\theta o\mu\alpha\iota$  are used respectively of 'Έρως and its effects.
- <sup>15</sup> She also has an awareness of these places as military installations, e.g. 293, 301; scarcely a feminine concern.
  - <sup>16</sup> E.g. the false sincerity of 601ff., 896f.; the 'Carpet Scene', 931ff.

## PHAIDRA'S AIDOS AGAIN\*

W. D. Furley, 'Phaidra's pleasurable aidos (Eur. Hipp.~380-7)', CQ~46~(1996), 84-90 is in part a response to my article, ' $ai\delta\omega_s$  in Euripides' Hippolytos~373-430: review and reinterpretation', JHS~113~(1993), 45-59. Furley states that I suggest that aidos is 'a euphemism for aidoia, the genitals, thus = sex'. This is an over-simplification. I argue (at pp. 45, 55, 56) that 'in this context,  $ai\delta\omega_s$  is a euphemistic metonymy for  $\tilde{\epsilon}\rho\omega_s$ '; that 'in terms of linguistic use,  $ai\delta\omega_s$  may be viewed as the natural reaction to the  $ai\deltaoia...$  just as  $\gamma\epsilon\lambda\omega_s$  is to  $\gamma\epsilon\lambdaoia$ '; and hence that 'the linguistic associations and semantic nuances of  $ai\delta\omega_s$  are sufficient to allow the word, in appropriate contexts, to mean "sex"'.

Furley concedes that '[sex] would certainly give a viable pleasure for Phaidra's list'; but objects that 'there is no direct parallel for *aidos* = sex, and the sense required would be most abrupt'. On the question of a parallel he allows that Theognis 1263–6 (a passage adduced by me) 'implies sexual gratification', but finds that this is 'only by

<sup>\*</sup>I am indebted to Douglas Cairns, who waived his anonymity as referee to respond effectively and helpfully to this note, and am particularly grateful for a preview of his article 'The Meadow of Artemis and the Character of the Euripidean Hippolytus', forthcoming QUCC.

innuendo'; and objects that 'Phaidra's speech is not on the level of sly winks'. Indeed not: the sly winks have been introduced gratuitously by Furley; and 'innuendo' is a thoroughly misleading term, carrying implications of obscene and pornographic, rather than merely sexual, content. Here, the subject of sex is introduced subtly, obliquely, poetically, with a restrained and allusive delicacy; to impute a furtive coarseness to the speech is to misunderstand both Phaidra and Euripides. On the question of abruptness in sense, Furley makes no reference to my argument that this is the concluding item in a triadic crescendo where sensuality and sexuality are throughout implicit; but seems tacitly to accept it in finding sex a 'viable pleasure for Phaidra's list'. Furley adds that 'it is difficult to see how *aidos* in this sense could be obscurely ambivalent' and that 'there is no ambivalence possible in Phaidra's perception of the two relationships open to her: that with Theseus is appropriate and respectable, that with Hippolytos totally inappropriate . . . 'But Phaidra is reflecting in general terms on the subject of adultery, and the immoderate nature of her passion is as important as its inappropriateness. (See my discussion of  $\kappa a\iota\rho \delta_5$ , pp. 56–7).

The overall structure and purpose of the speech, its dramatic context, and its poetic texture should not be disregarded. A densely associative penumbra of poetic usage surrounds this passage in this play. That the apparent opposites, Hippolytos and Phaidra, are suggestively aligned through interlocking patterns of language and imagery has often been remarked; and the symbolic sensual overtones of Hippolytos' evocation of meadows and gardens, motifs echoed and reiterated by Phaidra, are fully recognized.<sup>2</sup> Parallels from serious poetry, as well as from comedy, have been adduced for the erotic associations of the locus amoenus. Aidos first occurs in Hippolytos' first speech (particularly important in establishing character and dramatic situation); the dedication to Artemis of a garland from an unmown meadow is described in such a way that every word has a literal sense; but there is a heavy charge of erotic sous-entendre, resistant to over-literal analysis. Here we encounter aidos in the context of 'river dews': αἰδώς δὲ ποταμίαισι κηπεύει δρόσοις. 4 'Dews' may be added to the language of ambiguity and implication, as this specific aspect of the garden has a very definite alternative sense. The word δρόσοις was commonly used of sexual secretions, both male (Ar. Nu. 978) and female (Ar. Eq. 1285).<sup>5</sup>

By iteration of key words, Euripides can suggest, without directly articulating, associations familiar to his audience. The phrase 'river dews' recurs and there is a double reprise of the adjective 'dewy'. Hippolytos' words are directly echoed in the first stanza of the parodos, 121ff., an evocation of the scene where the chorus first heard of Phaidra's illness: ' $\Omega \kappa \epsilon a \nu o \hat{\nu} \tau \iota s$  " $\delta \omega \rho$   $\sigma \tau a \zeta o \nu \sigma a$   $\delta \epsilon \tau \rho a$   $\delta \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \tau a \iota s$  |  $\delta a \pi \tau a \nu c$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See my comments in 'Sexual Imagery and Innuendo in *Troades*', in A. Powell (ed.), *Euripides, Women, and Sexuality* (London and New York, 1990), pp. 1–15, especially at p. 14, n. 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See, for instance, B. D. Frischer, 'Concordia discors and Characterization in Euripides' Hippolytus', CRBS 11(1970), 85-100; and F. E. Brenk, 'Phaidra's Risky Horsemanship: Euripides' Hippolytos 232-238', Mnemosyne 39 (1986), 385-8, especially at p. 387 on the 'creeping eroticism and crossing of language from one character to another'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See A. Motte, *Prairies et jardins de la Grèce antique* (Ac. Roy. Belg. Mém. Classe des Lettres 2.61, fasc. 5) (Brussels, 1973) and J. M. Bremer, 'The Meadow of Love and Two Passages in Euripides' *Hippolytos*', *Mnemosyne* 28 (1975), 268–80; also, on usage of  $\kappa \hat{\eta} \pi o s$  and  $\kappa \eta \pi \epsilon \hat{\nu} \epsilon \iota \nu$ , J. Henderson, *The Maculate Muse* (Baltimore and London, 1979), hereafter MM, at 76 and 135.30.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> All citations are from the *OCT* of J. Diggle.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The phrase δρόσος καὶ χνοῦς, Nu. 978, is understood by K. J. Dover, comm. ad loc. (Oxford, 1968), to refer to Cowper's secretion, but see second thoughts in *Greek Homosexuality* (London, 1978), p. 125 n. 1; and note the suggestion of Henderson, MM 76 and 445 that the reference is to 'athletic sweat'.

κάλπισι πα | γὰν ῥυτὰν προιεῖσα κρημνῶν | τόθι μοί τις ἦν φίλα | πορφύρεα φάρεα ποταμία δρόσω | τέγγουσα . . . Then the adjective 'dewy' appears in Phaidra's expression of longing, 208, soon after her first entrance, πῶς ἄν δροσερᾶς ἀπὸ κρηνῖδος | καθαρῶν ὑδάτων πῶμ' ἀρυσαίμαν and in the Nurse's answering remonstrance, 226, πάρα γὰρ δροσερὰ πύργοις συνεχὴς | κλειτύς, ὅθεν σοι πῶμα γένοιτ' ἄν. Literally, of course, the women of the chorus refer to the local laundrette, Phaidra to a distant spring, and the Nurse to the palace water supply; but this does not negate the sous-entendre in the Leitmotiv.

That Euripides was fond of punning and wordplay is well-known; and that this tendency extends to the language of sex, in plays where sex is a dominant theme, need not surprise us. How far could such boldness go? In Cyclops,  $\delta\rho\chi\eta\sigma\tau\dot{v}s$  is probably a pun on  $\delta\rho\chi\epsilon\iota s$  (Cy. 171; see MM 27). In these passages in Hippolytos, where  $\delta\rho\dot{\sigma}\sigma s$  has sexual overtones,  $\kappa\lambda\epsilon\iota\tau\dot{v}s$ , lit. 'slope', 'hillside', may be intended as a reminiscence of  $\kappa\lambda\epsilon\iota\tau o\rho\dot{t}s$  (cf. Poll. 2.174 and Ruf. Onom. 111) and  $\kappa\rho\dot{\eta}\mu\nu\sigma\iota$ , lit. 'bank', as a reminiscence of its other sense, as a medical technical term, of the vaginal labia (Poll. 2.174 and Ruf. Onom. 112; also Hipp. Loc. Hom. 47, cf. 29). What is certain is that aidos first occurs in a passage of dense erotic imagery; and that other words of this passage are later deployed in a way which capitalizes on this associative introduction. A link between aidos and eros is established long before Phaidra's rhesis; and we may note that Eros is the subject of the ensuing lyric, 525ff.

I conclude with a brief word on Furley's own interpretation. Much of what he says about aidos in general is unexceptional; most of what he says about aidos in Hippolytos, from the starting point of Theognidea 1063–8, is unoriginal. Aidos (glossed at 88 'decency', 'courteousness', 'impeccable manners') is at 89 'a fastidious sense of honour which can ruin oneself and others'. But much had already been written on Phaidra's time, eukleia, and social status, on prideful pleasure in attention to conventions, and on the ways in which virtue can become a vice if taken to excess. If, as Furley suggests, treatment of this passage may be likened to 'such ancient rites as singing the skolion, where every member of the symposium was supposed to give his variant of a given theme', it is time for the symposiarch to intervene:  $ο \hat{v} τos$ ,  $\hat{ω} Eρv \xi (μαχε, δ ἐμὸς λόγος ἐστὶ περὶ 'Ερωτος.$ 

Kyoto University, Japan

E. M. CRAIK

<sup>6</sup> Furley's stance does not differ substantially from that of Barrett, Dodds, Segal and others; see discussion and bibliography in my article. Reciprocity is noted throughout D. L. Cairns, *Aidos* (Oxford, 1993); see e.g. pp. 3–4, 458–9, 184–5.

## A NOTE ON EURIPIDES, HECUBA 1054F.

άλλ' ἐκποδὼν ἄπειμι κἀποστήσομαι θυμῷ ζέοντι Θρηκὶ δυσμαχωτάτῳ.

The manuscripts attribute these lines with 1049–53 to Hecuba. This is accepted by all editors. But the fear of Polymestor as revealed in the sentence does not fit the death wishes Hecuba expresses e.g. in 167f., 231–3, 383–7, 391–3, 396; nor is it consistent with her scornful description of the blind king as  $\tau \nu \phi \lambda \hat{o} \nu \tau \nu \phi \lambda \hat{\phi}$   $\sigma \tau \epsilon i \chi o \nu \tau a \pi a \rho a \phi \delta \rho \phi \pi o \delta i$  in 1050. On the other hand, the chorus have many

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The text follows J. Diggle, Euripidis Fabulae I (Oxford, 1984).